arXiv:1603.01868v1 [math.FA] 6 Mar 2016

Generalized Drazin invertibility of operator matrices

Miloš D. Cvetković

Abstract

We study the generalized Drazin invertibility as well as the Drazin and ordinary invertibility of an operator matrix $M_C = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ acting on a Banach space $\mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{Y}$ or on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$. As a consequence some recent results are extended.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*. 47A10, 47A53.

Key words and phrases. Operator matrices, generalized Drazin invertibility, generalized Kato decomposition, point spectrum, defect spectrum.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be infinite dimensional Banach spaces. The set of all bounded linear operators from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$ will be denoted by $L(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$. For simplicity, we write $L(\mathcal{X})$ for $L(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$. The set

$$\mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{Y} = \{(x, y) : x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}\}$$

is a vector space with standard addition and multiplication by scalars. Under the norm

$$||(x, y)|| = (||x||^2 + ||y||^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$\mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{Y}$ becomes a Banach space. If $\mathcal{X}_1$ and $\mathcal{Y}_1$ are closed subspaces of $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ respectively, then we will use sometimes notation $\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{X}_1 \\ \mathcal{Y}_1 \end{pmatrix}$ instead of $\mathcal{X}_1 \oplus \mathcal{Y}_1$. If $A \in L(\mathcal{X})$, $B \in L(\mathcal{Y})$ and $C \in L(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ are given, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{Y}$$

represents a bounded linear operator on $\mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{Y}$ and it is called *upper triangular operator matrix*. If A and B are given then we write $M_C = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ in order to emphasize dependence on C.

Let $\mathbb{N}$ ($\mathbb{N}_0$) denote the set of all positive (non-negative) integers, and let $\mathbb{C}$ denote the set of all complex numbers. Given $T \in L(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$, we denote by $N(T)$ and $R(T)$ the *kernel* and the *range* of T. The numbers $\alpha(T) = \dim N(T)$ and $\beta(T) = \operatorname{codim} R(T)$ are *nullity* and *deficiency* of $T \in L(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ respectively. The set

$$\sigma(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{ is not invertible}\}$$

is the *spectrum* of $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$. An operator $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$ is *bounded below* if there exists some $c > 0$ such that $c\|x\| \leq \|Tx\|$ for every $x \in \mathcal{X}$. It is worth mentioning that the set of bounded below operators and the set of left invertible operators coincide in the Hilbert space setting. Also, the set of surjective operators and the set of right invertible operators coincide on a Hilbert space. Recall that $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$ is nilpotent when $T^n = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, while $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$ is *quasinilpotent* if $T - \lambda$ is invertible for all complex $\lambda \neq 0$. The *ascent* of $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$ is defined as $\operatorname{asc}(T) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : N(T^n) = N(T^{n+1})\}$, and *descent* of T is defined as $\operatorname{dsc}(T) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : R(T^n) = R(T^{n+1})\}$, where the infimum over the empty set is taken to be infinity. If $K \subset \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{acc} K$ is the set of accumulation points of K.

If M is a subspace of $\mathcal{X}$ such that $T(M) \subset M$, $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$, it is said that M is *T-invariant*. We define $T_M : M \to M$ as $T_M x = Tx$, $x \in M$. If M and N are two closed T-invariant subspaces of $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\mathcal{X} = M \oplus N$, we say that T is *completely reduced* by the pair (M, N) and it is denoted by $(M, N) \in Red(T)$. In this case we write $T = T_M \oplus T_N$ and say that T is a *direct sum* of T_M and T_N.

An operator $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$ is said to be *Drazin invertible*, if there exists $S \in L(\mathcal{X})$ and some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$TS = ST, \quad STS = S, \quad T^k ST = T^k.$$

It is a classical result that necessary and sufficient for $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$ to be Drazin invertible is that $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$ where T_1 is invertible and T_2 is nilpotent; see [10, 11]. The *Drazin spectrum* of T is defined as

$$\sigma_D(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{ is not Drazin invertible}\}$$

and it is compact [3, Proposition 2.5]. An operator $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$ is *left Drazin invertible* if $\operatorname{asc}(T) < \infty$ and if $R(T^{\operatorname{asc}(T)+1})$ is closed and $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$ is *right Drazin invertible* if $\operatorname{dsc}(T) < \infty$ and if $R(T^{\operatorname{dsc}(T)})$ is closed.

J. Koliha extended the concept of Drazin invertibility [14]. An operator $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$ is said to be *generalized Drazin invertible*, if there exists $S \in L(\mathcal{X})$

such that

$$TS = ST, \quad STS = S, \quad TST - T \text{ is quasinilpotent.}$$

According to [14, Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 7.1], $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$ is generalized Drazin invertible if and only if $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma(T)$, and it is exactly when $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$ with T_1 invertible and T_2 quasinilpotent. Naturally, the set

$$\sigma_{gD}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{ is not generalized Drazin invertible}\}$$

is the *generalized Drazin spectrum* of T.

We also recall definitions of the following spectra of $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$:

the point spectrum: $\sigma_p(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{ is not injective}\}$,
the defect spectrum: $\sigma_d(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \overline{R(T-\lambda)} \neq \mathcal{X}\}$,
the approximate point spectrum: $\sigma_{ap}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{ is not bounded below}\}$,
the surjective spectrum: $\sigma_{su}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{ is not surjective}\}$,
the left spectrum: $\sigma_l(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{ is not left invertible}\}$,
the right spectrum: $\sigma_r(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{ is not right invertible}\}$.

Let M and L be two closed linear subspaces of $\mathcal{X}$ and set

$$\delta(M, L) = \sup\{dist(u, L) : u \in M,\ \|u\| = 1\},$$

in the case that $M \neq \{0\}$, otherwise we define $\delta(\{0\}, L) = 0$ for any subspace L. The *gap* between M and L is defined by

$$\hat{\delta}(M, L) = \max\{\delta(M, L), \delta(L, M)\}.$$

It is known that [15, corollary 10.10]

$$\hat{\delta}(M, L) < 1 \Longrightarrow \dim M = \dim L. \tag{1.1}$$

An operator $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$ is *semi-regular* if $R(T)$ is closed and if one of the following equivalent statements holds:
(i) $N(T) \subset R(T^m)$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$;
(ii) $N(T^n) \subset R(T)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
It is clear that left and right invertible operators are semi-regular. V. Müller shows ([15, Corollary 12.4]) that if $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$, then T is semi-regular and $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma(T)$ imply that T is invertible. In particular,

$$T \text{ is left invertible and } 0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma(T) \Longrightarrow T \text{ is invertible,} \tag{1.2}$$
$$T \text{ is right invertible and } 0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma(T) \Longrightarrow T \text{ is invertible.} \tag{1.3}$$

An operator $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$ is said to admit a *generalized Kato decomposition*, abbreviated as GKD, if there exists a pair $(M, N) \in Red(T)$ such that T_M is semi-regular and T_N is quasinilpotent. A relevant case is obtained if we assume that T_N is nilpotent. In this case T is said to be of *Kato type*.

The invertibility, Drazin invertibility and generalized Drazin invertibility of upper triangular operator matrices have been studied by many authors, see for example [12, 13, 7, 18, 5, 8, 9, 19, 17]. In this article we study primarily the generalized Drazin invertibility but also the Drazin and ordinary invertibility of operator matrices by using the technique that involves the gap theory; see the auxiliary results: (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.3. Let $A \in L(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in L(\mathcal{K})$, where $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ are separable Hilbert spaces, and consider the following conditions:

(i) $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$, A_1 is bounded below and A_2 is quasinilpotent;
(ii) $B = B_1 \oplus B_2$, B_1 is surjective and B_2 is quasinilpotent;
(iii) There exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that $\beta(A - \lambda) = \alpha(B - \lambda)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $0 < |\lambda| < \delta$.

Our main result stands that if the conditions (i)-(iii) are satisfied then there exists $C \in L(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ such that M_C is generalized Drazin invertible. The converse is also true under the assumption that A and B admit a GKD. Moreover, we obtain the corresponding results concerning the Drazin invertibility of operator matrices. Further, let $A \in L(\mathcal{X})$ and $B \in L(\mathcal{Y})$ where $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ are Banach spaces. It is also shown that if 0 is not an accumulation point of the defect spectrum of A or of the point spectrum of B and if the operator matrix $M_C = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ is invertible (resp. Drazin invertible, generalized Drazin invertible) for some $C \in L(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ then A and B are both invertible (resp. Drazin invertible, generalized Drazin invertible). What is more, we give several corollaries that improve some recent results.

2 Results

From now on $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ will denote Banach spaces, while $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ will denote separable Hilbert spaces. We begin with several auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$ be semi-regular. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\alpha(T - \lambda)$ and $\beta(T - \lambda)$ are constant for $|\lambda| < \epsilon$.*

Proof. Let $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$ be semi-regular. The mapping $\lambda \to N(T - \lambda)$ is continuous at the point 0 in the gap metric; see [1, Theorem 1.38] or [15, Theorem 12.2]. In particular, there exists $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that $|\lambda| < \epsilon_1$ implies

$\hat{\delta}(N(T), N(T-\lambda)) < 1$. From (1.1) we obtain $\dim N(T) = \dim N(T-\lambda)$, i.e. $\alpha(T) = \alpha(T-\lambda)$ for $|\lambda| < \epsilon_1$. Further, T' is also semi-regular where T' is adjoint operator of T [1, Theorem 1.19]. As above we conclude that $\alpha(T') = \alpha(T'-\lambda)$ on an open disc centered at 0. Since $T-\lambda$ has closed range for sufficiently small $|\lambda|$ [1, Theorem 1.31], it follows that there exists $\epsilon_2 > 0$ such that

$$\beta(T) = \alpha(T') = \alpha(T'-\lambda) = \beta(T-\lambda) \text{ for } |\lambda| < \epsilon_2.$$

We put $\epsilon = \min\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\}$, and the lemma follows. □

Lemma 2.2 ([13]). *Let $A \in L(\mathcal{X})$ and $B \in L(\mathcal{Y})$. If A and B are both invertible, then M_C is invertible for every $C \in L(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$. In addition, if M_C is invertible for some $C \in L(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$, then A is invertible if and only if B is invertible.*

Lemma 2.3 ([20]). (a) *Let $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$. The following conditions are equivalent:*
(i) *There exists $(M, N) \in Red(T)$ such that T_M is bounded below (resp. surjective) and T_N is quasinilpotent;*
(ii) *T admits a GKD and $0 \notin \text{acc } \sigma_{ap}(T)$ (resp. $0 \notin \text{acc } \sigma_{su}(T)$).*

(b) *Let $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$. The following conditions are equivalent:*
(i) *There exists $(M, N) \in Red(T)$ such that T_M is bounded below (resp. surjective) and T_N is nilpotent;*
(ii) *T is of Kato type and $0 \notin \text{acc } \sigma_{ap}(T)$ (resp. $0 \notin \text{acc } \sigma_{su}(T)$).*

We now prove our first main result.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $A \in L(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in L(\mathcal{K})$ be given operators on separable Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$, respectively, such that:*

(i) *$A = A_1 \oplus A_2$, A_1 is bounded below and A_2 is quasinilpotent;*
(ii) *$B = B_1 \oplus B_2$, B_1 is surjective and B_2 is quasinilpotent;*
(iii) *There exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that $\beta(A-\lambda) = \alpha(B-\lambda)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $0 < |\lambda| < \delta$.*

Then there exists an operator $C \in L(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ such that M_C is generalized Drazin invertible.

Proof. By assumption, there exist closed A-invariant subspaces $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$ of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}$, $A_{\mathcal{H}_1} = A_1$ is bounded below and $A_{\mathcal{H}_2} = A_2$ is quasinilpotent. Also, there exist closed B-invariant subspaces $\mathcal{K}_1$ and $\mathcal{K}_2$ of $\mathcal{K}$ such that $\mathcal{K}_1 \oplus \mathcal{K}_2 = \mathcal{K}$, $B_{\mathcal{K}_1} = B_1$ is surjective and $B_{\mathcal{K}_2} = B_2$

is quasinilpotent. It is clear that $\beta(A-\lambda)=\beta(A_1-\lambda)+\beta(A_2-\lambda)$ and $\alpha(B-\lambda)=\alpha(B_1-\lambda)+\alpha(B_2-\lambda)$ for every $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$. Since A_2 and B_2 are quasinilpotent we have

$$\beta(A-\lambda)=\beta(A_1-\lambda), \tag{2.1}$$
$$\alpha(B-\lambda)=\alpha(B_1-\lambda), \tag{2.2}$$

for every $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$. Further, according to Lemma 2.1 there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that

$$\beta(A_1-\lambda) \text{ and } \alpha(B_1-\lambda) \text{ are constant for } |\lambda|<\epsilon. \tag{2.3}$$

Consider $\lambda_0\in\mathbb{C}$ such that $0<|\lambda_0|<\min\{\epsilon,\delta\}$, where δ is from the condition (iii). Using (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and the condition (iii) we obtain

$$\beta(A_1)=\beta(A_1-\lambda_0)=\beta(A-\lambda_0)=\alpha(B-\lambda_0)=\alpha(B_1-\lambda_0)=\alpha(B_1).$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that $\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{H}_1\\ \mathcal{K}_1\end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{H}_2\\ \mathcal{K}_2\end{pmatrix}$ are closed subspaces of $\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{H}\\ \mathcal{K}\end{pmatrix}$ and that $\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{H}_1\\ \mathcal{K}_1\end{pmatrix}\oplus\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{H}_2\\ \mathcal{K}_2\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{H}\\ \mathcal{K}\end{pmatrix}$. $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{K}_1$ are separable Hilbert spaces in their own right, so from [12, Theorem 2] it follows that there exists an operator $C_1\in L(\mathcal{K}_1,\mathcal{H}_1)$ such that the operator $\begin{pmatrix}A_1 & C_1\\ 0 & B_1\end{pmatrix}:\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{H}_1\\ \mathcal{K}_1\end{pmatrix}\to\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{H}_1\\ \mathcal{K}_1\end{pmatrix}$ is invertible. Let define an operator $C\in L(\mathcal{K},\mathcal{H})$ by

$$C=\begin{pmatrix}C_1 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}:\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{K}_1\\ \mathcal{K}_2\end{pmatrix}\to\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{H}_1\\ \mathcal{H}_2\end{pmatrix}.$$

An easy computation shows that $\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{H}_1\\ \mathcal{K}_1\end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{H}_2\\ \mathcal{K}_2\end{pmatrix}$ are invariant for $M_C=\begin{pmatrix}A & C\\ 0 & B\end{pmatrix}$ and also

$$(M_C)_{\mathcal{H}_1\oplus\mathcal{K}_1}=\begin{pmatrix}A_1 & C_1\\ 0 & B_1\end{pmatrix},$$
$$(M_C)_{\mathcal{H}_2\oplus\mathcal{K}_2}=\begin{pmatrix}A_2 & 0\\ 0 & B_2\end{pmatrix}.$$

Since A_2 and B_2 are quasinilpotent, from $\sigma((M_C)_{\mathcal{H}_2\oplus\mathcal{K}_2})=\sigma(A_2)\cup\sigma(B_2)=\{0\}$, it follows that $(M_C)_{\mathcal{H}_2\oplus\mathcal{K}_2}$ is quasinilpotent. Finally, $(M_C)_{\mathcal{H}_1\oplus\mathcal{K}_1}$ is invertible and thus M_C is generalized Drazin invertible. □

Using Theorem 2.4 we obtain [5, Theorem 2.1] in a simpler way.

Theorem 2.5 ([5]). *Let $A \in L(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in L(\mathcal{K})$ be given operators on separable Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$, respectively, such that:*

(i) *A is left Drazin invertible;*
(ii) *B is right Drazin invertible;*
(iii) *There exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that $\beta(A-\lambda) = \alpha(B-\lambda)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $0 < |\lambda| < \delta$.*

Then there exists an operator $C \in L(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ such that M_C is Drazin invertible.

Proof. By [2, Theorem 3.12] it follows that there exist pairs $(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2) \in Red(A)$ and $(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2) \in Red(B)$ such that $A_{\mathcal{H}_1} = A_1$ is bounded below, $B_{\mathcal{K}_1} = B_1$ is surjective, $A_{\mathcal{H}_2} = A_2$ and $B_{\mathcal{K}_2} = B_2$ are nilpotent. From the proof of Theorem 2.4 we conclude that there exists $C \in L(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} M_C &= (M_C)_{\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{K}_1} \oplus (M_C)_{\mathcal{H}_2 \oplus \mathcal{K}_2}, \\ &(M_C)_{\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{K}_1} \text{ is invertible}, \\ (M_C)_{\mathcal{H}_2 \oplus \mathcal{K}_2} &= \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

For sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \end{pmatrix}^n = \begin{pmatrix} (A_2)^n & 0 \\ 0 & (B_2)^n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It means that $(M_C)_{\mathcal{H}_2 \oplus \mathcal{K}_2}$ is nilpotent, and the proof is complete. □

Under the additional assumptions the converse implications in Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.5 are also true even in the context of Banach spaces.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $A \in L(\mathcal{X})$ and $B \in L(\mathcal{Y})$ admit a GKD. If there exists some $C \in L(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ such that M_C is generalized Drazin invertible, then the following holds:*
(i) *$A = A_1 \oplus A_2$, A_1 is bounded below and A_2 is quasinilpotent;*
(ii) *$B = B_1 \oplus B_2$, B_1 is surjective and B_2 is quasinilpotent;*
(iii) *There exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that $\beta(A-\lambda) = \alpha(B-\lambda)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $0 < |\lambda| < \delta$.*

Proof. Let M_C be generalized Drazin invertible for some $C \in L(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $M_C - \lambda$ is invertible for $0 < |\lambda| < \delta$. According to [13, Theorem 2] we have $0 \notin \text{acc}\, \sigma_l(A)$, $0 \notin \text{acc}\, \sigma_r(B)$ and that the statement (iii) is satisfied. It means that also $0 \notin \text{acc}\, \sigma_{ap}(A)$ and $0 \notin \text{acc}\, \sigma_{su}(B)$. By Lemma 2.3 we obtain that the statements (i) and (ii) are also satisfied. □

Theorem 2.7. *Let $A \in L(\mathcal{X})$ be of Kato type and let $B \in L(\mathcal{Y})$ admit a GKD. If there exists some $C \in L(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ such that M_C is Drazin invertible, then the following holds:*
(i) *A is left Drazin invertible;*
(ii) *B is right Drazin invertible;*
(iii) *There exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that $\beta(A - \lambda) = \alpha(B - \lambda)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $0 < |\lambda| < \delta$.*

Proof. Applying the same argument as in Theorem 2.6 we obtain that the statement (iii) holds and $0 \notin \operatorname{acc} \sigma_{ap}(A) \cup \operatorname{acc} \sigma_{su}(B)$. Now Lemma 2.3 implies that there exist $(X_1, X_2) \in Red(A)$ and $(Y_1, Y_2) \in Red(B)$ such that A_1 is bounded below, A_2 is nilpotent, B_1 is surjective and B_2 is quasinilpotent. Since $\operatorname{dsc}(B) < \infty$ [18, Lemma 2.6], then $\operatorname{dsc}(B_2) < \infty$, so B_2 is quasinilpotent operator with finite descent. We conclude that B_2 is nilpotent by [16, Corollary 10.6, p. 332]. Let $n \geq d$ where $d \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $(A_2)^d = 0$ and $(A_2)^{d-1} \neq 0$. We have

$$N(A^n) = N((A_1)^n) \oplus N((A_2)^n) = \mathcal{X}_2,$$
$$N(A^{d-1}) = N((A_1)^{d-1}) \oplus N((A_2)^{d-1}) = N((A_2)^{d-1}) \subsetneq \mathcal{X}_2.$$

It follows that $\operatorname{asc}(A) = d < \infty$. From $R(A^n) = R((A_1)^n) \oplus R((A_2)^n) = R((A_1)^n)$ we conclude that $R(A^n)$ is closed and therefore A is left Drazin invertible. In a similar way we prove that B is right Drazin invertible. □

An operator $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$ is *semi-Fredholm* if $R(T)$ is closed and if $\alpha(T)$ or $\beta(T)$ is finite. The class of semi-Fredholm operators belongs to the class of Kato type operators [15, Theorem 16.21]. According to this observation it seems that Theorem 2.7 is an extension of [5, Corollary 2.3].

If $\delta > 0$, set $\mathbb{D}(0, \delta) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| < \delta\}$. The following theorem is our second main result.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $A \in L(\mathcal{X})$ and $B \in L(\mathcal{Y})$ be given operators such that $0 \notin \operatorname{acc} \sigma_d(A)$ or $0 \notin \operatorname{acc} \sigma_p(B)$.*
(i) *If there exists some $C \in L(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ such that M_C is generalized Drazin invertible, then A and B are both generalized Drazin invertible.*
(ii) *If there exists some $C \in L(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ such that M_C is Drazin invertible, then A and B are both Drazin invertible.*
(iii) *If there exists some $C \in L(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ such that M_C is invertible, then A and B are both invertible.*

Proof. (i). Suppose that $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma_d(A)$ and that M_C is generalized Drazin invertible for some $C \in L(\mathcal{Y},\mathcal{X})$. Since $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma(M_C)$ then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$M_C - \lambda \text{ is invertible,} \tag{2.4}$$

$$\overline{R(A-\lambda)} = \mathcal{X}, \tag{2.5}$$

for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}(0,\delta)\setminus\{0\}$. From [13, Theorem 2] it follows that $A-\lambda$ is left invertible for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}(0,\delta)\setminus\{0\}$. Since $A-\lambda$ has closed range for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}(0,\delta)\setminus\{0\}$, from (2.5) we conclude $R(A-\lambda) = \overline{R(A-\lambda)} = \mathcal{X}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}(0,\delta)\setminus\{0\}$, i.e. $A-\lambda$ is surjective for $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}(0,\delta)\setminus\{0\}$. Moreover, $A-\lambda$ is injective for $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}(0,\delta)\setminus\{0\}$, hence $A-\lambda$ is invertible for $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}(0,\delta)\setminus\{0\}$. It means that $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma(A)$, so A is generalized Drazin invertible. Now, (2.4) and Lemma 2.2 imply that B is generalized Drazin invertible.

Assume that $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma_p(B)$ and that M_C is generalized Drazin invertible for some $C \in L(\mathcal{Y},\mathcal{X})$. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that (2.4) holds and

$$B-\lambda \text{ is injective for } \lambda \in \mathbb{D}(0,\delta)\setminus\{0\}. \tag{2.6}$$

B is generalized Drazin invertible by (2.4), (2.6) and [13, Theorem 2]. We apply Lemma 2.2 again and obtain that A is generalized Drazin invertible.

(ii). If there exists $C \in L(\mathcal{Y},\mathcal{X})$ such that M_C is Drazin invertible, then M_C is also generalized Drazin invertible. According to the part (i) it follows that B is generalized Drazin invertible, i.e. $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma(B)$. Further, $\operatorname{dsc}(B) < \infty$ by [18, Lemma 2.6], so from [6, Corollary 1.6] it follows that B is Drazin invertible. We apply [18, Lemma 2.7] and obtain that A is also Drazin invertible.

(iii). A is left invertible and B is right invertible by [13, Theorem 2]. On the other hand, the part (i) now leads to $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma(A)$ and $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}\sigma(B)$. The result follows from (1.2) and (1.3). □

The part (ii) of Theorem 2.8 is also an extension of [5, Corollary 2.3]. The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.8. The second inclusion of Corollary 2.9 is proved in [13, Corollary 4], [8, Theorem 5.1] and [9, Lemma 2.3].

Corollary 2.9. *Let $A \in L(\mathcal{X})$ and $B \in L(\mathcal{Y})$. If $\sigma_* \in \{\sigma, \sigma_D, \sigma_{gD}\}$, then we have*

$$(\sigma_*(A)\cup\sigma_*(B))\setminus(\operatorname{acc}\sigma_d(A)\cap\operatorname{acc}\sigma_p(B)) \subset \sigma_*(M_C) \subset \sigma_*(A)\cup\sigma_*(B)$$

for every $C \in L(\mathcal{Y},\mathcal{X})$.

Remark 2.10. Let $T \in L(\mathcal{X})$. Inclusions $\operatorname{acc}\sigma_p(T) \subset \operatorname{acc}\sigma_l(T) \subset \operatorname{acc}\sigma(T) \subset \sigma(T)$ and $\operatorname{acc}\sigma_d(T) \subset \operatorname{acc}\sigma_r(T) \subset \operatorname{acc}\sigma(T) \subset \sigma(T)$ are clear. According to [4, Theorem 12(iii)] and from the fact that the Drazin spectrum is compact we have $\operatorname{acc}\sigma_p(T) \subset \operatorname{acc}\sigma(T) = \operatorname{acc}\sigma_D(T) \subset \sigma_D(T)$ and also $\operatorname{acc}\sigma_d(T) \subset \operatorname{acc}\sigma(T) = \operatorname{acc}\sigma_D(T) \subset \sigma_D(T)$. From this consideration we obtain the following statements for every $A \in L(\mathcal{X})$ and $B \in L(\mathcal{Y})$:
(i) $\operatorname{acc}\sigma_d(A) \cap \operatorname{acc}\sigma_p(B) \subset \sigma(A) \cap \sigma(B)$;
(ii) $\operatorname{acc}\sigma_d(A) \cap \operatorname{acc}\sigma_p(B) \subset \sigma_D(A) \cap \sigma_D(B)$;
(iii) $\operatorname{acc}\sigma_d(A) \cap \operatorname{acc}\sigma_p(B) \subset \operatorname{acc}\sigma_r(A) \cap \operatorname{acc}\sigma_l(B) \subset \sigma_r(A) \cap \sigma_l(B)$.

Remark 2.10 shows that Corollary 2.9 is an improvement of [13, Corollary 4], [17, Corollary 3.17] and of the equation (1) in [18]. Another extension of the equation (1) from [18] may be found in [5, Proposition 2.2].

We also generalize [5, Corollary 2.6].

Corollary 2.11. *Let $A \in L(\mathcal{X})$, $B \in L(\mathcal{Y})$ and let $\sigma_* \in \{\sigma, \sigma_D, \sigma_{gD}\}$. If $\operatorname{acc}\sigma_d(A) \cap \operatorname{acc}\sigma_p(B) = \emptyset$ then*

$$\sigma_*(M_C) = \sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) \text{ for every } C \in L(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}). \tag{2.7}$$

In particular, if $\sigma_r(A) \cap \sigma_l(B) = \emptyset$ then (2.7) is satisfied.

Proof. Apply Corollary 2.9 and (iii) of Remark 2.10. □

Example 2.12. Let $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y} = \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and let U be forward unilateral shift operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. It is known that

$$\sigma(U) = \sigma_D(U) = \sigma_{gD}(U) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq 1\}.$$

Since $\sigma_p(U) = \emptyset$, then from Corollary 2.11 we conclude

$$\sigma_*\left(\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & U \end{pmatrix}\right) = \sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(U),$$

where $\sigma_* \in \{\sigma, \sigma_D, \sigma_{gD}\}$ and $A, C \in L(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ are arbitrary operators.

We finish with a slight extension of [13, Corollary 7], [18, Theorem 2.9] and [17, Theorem 3.18].

Theorem 2.13. *Let $A \in L(\mathcal{X})$ and $B \in L(\mathcal{Y})$. If $\sigma_* \in \{\sigma, \sigma_D, \sigma_{gD}\}$, then for every $C \in L(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ we have*

$$\sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) = \sigma_*(M_C) \cup W, \tag{2.8}$$

where W is the union of certain holes in $\sigma_*(M_C)$, which happen to be subsets of $\operatorname{acc}\sigma_d(A) \cap \operatorname{acc}\sigma_p(B)$.

Proof. Expression (2.8) is the main result of [13], [18] and [19]. Corollary 2.9 shows that the filling some holes in $\sigma_*(M_C)$ should occur in $\operatorname{acc}\sigma_d(A) \cap \operatorname{acc}\sigma_p(B)$. □

Acknowledgements. The author is supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development, Republic of Serbia, grant no. 174007.

References

[1] P. Aiena, *Fredholm and local spectral theory, with applications to multipliers*, Kluwer Academic Publishers (2004).

[2] M. Berkani, *Restiction of an operator to the range of its powers*, Studia Math. 140(2) (2000), 163-175.

[3] M. Berkani, M. Sarih, *An Atkinson-type theorem for B-Fredholm operators*, Studia Math. 148 (2001), 251-257.

[4] E. Boasso, *Drazin spectra of Banach space operators and Banach algebra elements*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 359 (2009), 48-55.

[5] M. Boumazgour, *Drazin invertibility of upper triangular operator matrices*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 61(5) (2013), 627-634.

[6] M. Burgos, A. Kaidi, M. Mbekhta, M. Oudghiri, *The descent spectrum and perturbations*, J. Operator Theory, 56(2) (2006), 259-271.

[7] D. S. Cvetković-Ilić, Invertible and regular completions of operator matrices, Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra, 30 (2015), 530-549.

[8] D. S. Djordjević, P. S. Stanimirović, *On the generalized Drazin inverse and generalized resolvent*, Czech. Math. J. 51(3) (2001), 617-634.

[9] D. S. Djordjević, *Perturbations of spectra of operator matrices*, J. Oper. Theory, 48 (2002), 467-486.

[10] C. King, *A note on Drazin inverses*, Pacific J. Math. 70 (1977), 383-390.

[11] D. C. Lay, *Spectral analysis using ascent, descent, nullity and defect*, Math. Ann. 184 (1970), 197-214.

[12] H. K. Du, J. Pan, *Perturbation of spectrums of* 2×2 *operator matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 121 (1994), 761-766.

[13] J. K. Han, H. Y. Lee, W. Y. Lee, *Invertible completions of* 2×2 *upper triangular operator matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000), 119-123.

[14] J. J. Koliha, *A generalized Drazin inverse*, Glasgow Math. J. 38 (1996), 367-381.

[15] V. Müller, *Spectral theory of linear operators and spectral systems in Banach algebras*, Birkhäuser (2007).

[16] A. E. Taylor, D. C. Lay, *Introduction to Functional Analysis*, 2nd edition, John Wiley & Sons (1980).

[17] H. Zariouh, H. Zguitti, *On pseudo B-Weyl operators and generalized Drazin invertibility for operator matrices*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, DOI: 10.1080/03081087.2015.1082959

[18] S. Zhang, H. Zhong, Q. Jiang, *Drazin spectrum of operator matrices on the Banach space*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 429 (2008), 2067-2075.

[19] S. Zhang, H. Zhong, L. Lin, *Generalized Drazin spectrum of operator matrices*, Appl. Math. J. Chinese Univ. 29(2) (2014), 162-170.

[20] S. Č. Živković-Zlatanović, M. D. Cvetković, *Generalized Kato decomposition, Kato type decomposition and various types of spectra*, submitted.

Miloš D. Cvetković
University of Niš
Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics
P.O. Box 224, 18000 Niš, Serbia
E-mail: miloscvetkovic83@gmail.com